September 14, 1995

Determination of Switch Cost per Subscriber Various Switch Types and Sizes

Methodology

Cost data was obtained from two sources. For the AT&T and Nortel (Northern Telecom) switches, recent manufacturer's quotations for actual systems were used. In all cases, one of the switches quoted was ordered. Some switches are currently operational, and others are being installed at this time. These costs include Category 3 switching investment and some minor ancillary costs such as power equipment and distributing frames.

For the Redcom switches, actual installed Category 3 switching cost was utilized. Cost of power and distributing frames was not included. Although this creates a slight discrepancy between the switch types in a comparison, it is felt that the differences are not material. In any case, excluding the power and distributing frame cost for the Redcom switches would tend to lower the cost per customer (access line) vs. a cost including these items. This would tend to bias the results in favor of the smaller switch cost per access line being lower than the large switch cost per access line.

Switch costs were utilized to calculate a price formula of A+Bx, where:

- A = The initial cost of a switch with no line circuits. This is the cost of the call processors, switching matrix, man-machine interface, billing equipment, SS7 interfaces, etc. This can be thought of as the "non-traffic sensitive" or "fixed" portion of a switch in that it is not dependent on the number of access lines served from the switch.
- B = The cost per access line installed in the switch
- x = The number of access lines installed in the switch.

This formula can be utilized to calculate a price for a switch of any line size. This is a standard formula utilized by switch vendors, service providers, and consultants in the telecommunications industry to calculate budgetary pricing of switches.

The values of A and B were calculated for each switch vendor and switch type. Actual costs used in calculating the formula values are shown on the attachments <u>Switch Prices</u> <u>Based on Recent Vendor Quotations</u> for the AT&T and Nortel switches, and on <u>Switch Prices Based on Actual Cost of Recent Installations</u> for the Redcom switches.

The formulae with the calculated A and B values were then used to determine the budgetary price of each switch type for various standard sizes. The results of the calculations are shown on the attachment <u>Calculated Switch Prices</u>, <u>Various Switch Sizes and Types</u>.

Results

From <u>Calculated Switch Prices</u>, <u>Various Switch Sizes and Types</u>, it can be seen that the cost per access line, and thus the cost per customer, is higher for the smaller switch sizes within a given switch type than for larger switch sizes.

Generally, for smaller switches, costs are higher per customer than for larger switches.

The one exception to this is that the cost of the DMS 10 and 5 ESS CDX in the 10,000 line size are lower than costs for the DMS 100 and AT&T 5 ESS in the 10,000 line size. This is because the DMS 10 and 5 ESS CDX are designed to have a maximum size in the 10,000 line range. The non traffic sensitive (fixed) portion of the DMS 10 and 5 ESS CDX switches are designed to accommodate this maximum size. The DMS 100 and 5 ESS are designed for maximum sizes above 50,000 lines. The fixed cost of the non-traffic sensitive portions of the DMS 100 and 5 ESS required to provide call handling for 50,000 plus lines is greater than the fixed cost for the DMS 10 and 5 ESS CDX. When this larger fixed cost is spread over the same number of lines as the lower fixed cost of the small switches, the cost per access line is greater in the larger switches at the same line size.

The advantage of having several switch sizes available is that telecommunications providers are able to purchase the switch that is most economical in a given size installation, rather than using an uneconomical "one size fits all" philosophy, where all switches, regardless of size, would deploy large size fixed cost processors. In smaller applications, this would result in unused capacity, that would still have to be paid for.

Conclusion and Recommendation

From the calculated prices of the switches, it can be seen that the cost per customer of a small switch is greater than the cost per customer of a large switch. Some form of DEM weighting should be maintained to recognize this situation and adequately compensate owners of smaller size switches.

03/17/97

I:UACKATADEMISWHIST1.WK4 swhist

SWITCH PRICES BASED ON RECENT VENDOR QUOTATIONS VARIOUS SWITCH SIZES AND TYPES

| OFFICE | SWITCH | DATE OF QUOTE | LINES | BASE COST | FEATURES | COST | TOTAL COST | COST PER AL |
|--------|--------------------------|------------------|-------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Y | VENDOR A LARGE SWITCH | 1994 | 5.376 | \$1,244,683 | ISDN SS7/CLASS | \$120,643 INCL | \$1,365,326 | \$254 |
| | VENDOR B LARGE SWITCH | 1994 | 4,830 | \$1,897,362 | ISDN DDS SS7/CLASS | \$356,650 \$61,601 INCL | \$ 2,315,613 | \$479 |
| | VENDOR C SMALL SWITCH | 1993 | 1,037 | \$312,265 | ISDN SS7/CLASS | N/A \$83,768 | \$396,033 | \$382 |
| G | VENDOR D SMALL SWITCH | 1993 | 1,536 | \$536,280 | ISDN SS7/CLASS | \$46,620 \$20,000 | \$602,900 | \$393 |
| | VENDOR C SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 360 | \$509,650 | SS7/CLASS ISDN | | \$509,650 | \$1,416 |
| AG | VENDOR D SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 360 | \$345,000 | SWITCHES BEL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING: | -ow | \$345,000 | \$958 |
| | VENDOR C SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 2,800 | \$657,240 | | | \$657,240 | \$235 |
| AJ | VENDOR D SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 2,800 | \$785,000 | ** * | | \$785,000 | \$280 |
| | VENDOR C SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 1,130 | \$562,000 | • | | \$562,000 | \$ 497 |
| ВА | VENDOR D SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 1,130 | \$450,000 | | | \$450,000 | \$398 |
| | VENDOR C SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 525 | \$553,630 |) | | \$ 553,630 | \$1,055 |
| SE | VENDOR D SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 525 | \$355,000 |) | | \$355,000 | \$ 676 |
| | VENDOR C SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 700 | \$565,100 | | | \$565,100 | \$807 |
| SA | VENDOR D SMALL SWITCH | 1995 | 700 | \$400,000 | 0 | | \$400,000 | \$ 571 |

03/17/97

SWITCH PRICES BASED ON ACTUAL COST OF RECENT INSTALLATIONS VARIOUS SWITCH SIZES AND TYPES

| | OFFICE | SWITCH | DATE OF QUOTE | LINES | BASE | FEATURES | COST | TOTAL COST | COST PER AL |
|----|--------|-----------------|------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------|------|------------------|-----------------|
| TE | | VENDOR E | | 4 | \$34,636 | | | \$34,636 | \$8,659 |
| BI | | VENDOR E | | 9 | \$22,675 | | | \$22,675 | \$2,519 |
| LI | | VENDOR E | | 9 | \$51,002 | | | \$51,002 | \$5,667 |
| ST | | VENDOR E | | 13 | \$39,619 | | | \$39,619 | \$3,048 |
| LA | | VENDOR E | | 16 | \$36,598 | | | \$36,598 | \$2,287 |
| CH | | VENDOR E | | 18 | \$50,099 | | | \$50,099 | \$2,783 |
| TA | | VENDOR E | | 19 | \$42,411 | | | \$42,411 | \$2,232 |
| PL | | VENDOR E | | 22 | \$26,561 | | | \$26,561 | \$1,207 |
| RA | | VENDOR E | | 22 | \$38,791 | | | \$38,791 | \$1,763 |
| TW | | VENDOR E | | 23 | \$35,970 | | | \$35,970 | \$1,564 |
| AR | | VENDOR E | | 27 | \$ 71,682 | | | \$71,682 | \$ 2,655 |
| CH | | VENDOR E | | 29 | \$23,648 | | | \$23,648 | \$8 15 |
| 8E | | VENDOR E | | 29 | \$69,303 | | | \$6 9,303 | \$2,390 |
| NI | | VENDOR E | | 31 | \$ 73,20 8 | | | \$73,208 | \$2,362 |
| SH | | VENDOR E | | 35 | \$74,497 | | | \$ 74,497 | \$2,128 |
| NI | | VENDOR E | | 37 | \$44,602 | | | \$44,602 | \$1,205 |
| MI | | VENDOR E | | 39 | \$ 55,580 | | | \$55,580 | \$1,425 |
| CH | | VENDOR E | | 44 | \$36,018 | | | \$3 6,018 | \$819 |
| VE | | VENDOR E | | 44 | \$53,105 | | | \$53 ,105 | \$1,207 |
| NE | | VENDOR E | | 45 | \$56,384 | | | \$56,384 | \$1,253 |
| TU | | VENDOR E | | 47 | \$54,023 | | | \$5 4,023 | \$1,149 |
| GO | | VENDOR E | | 50 | \$99,116 | | | \$99 ,116 | \$1,982 |
| AT | | VENDOR E | | 56 | \$71,418 | | | \$71,418 | \$1,275 |
| CH | | VENDOR E | | 57 | \$71,744 | | | \$71,744 | \$1,259 |
| KQ | | VENDOR E | | 59 | \$50,019 | | | \$50,019 | \$848 |
| NA | | VENDOR E | | 59 | \$103,523 | | | \$103,523 | \$1,755 |
| AK | | VENDOR E | | 61 | \$68,470 | | | \$68,470 | \$1,122 |
| MA | | VENDOR E | | 62 | \$98,643 | | | \$98,643 | \$1,591 |
| CE | | VENDOR E | | 62 | \$122,343 | | | \$122,343 | \$1,973 |
| RU | | VENDOR E | | 63 | \$75,941 | | | \$75,941 | \$1,205 |
| NA | | VENDOR E | | 64 | \$71,354 | | | \$71,354 | \$1,115 |
| TU | | VENDOR E | | 69 | \$50,968 | | | \$50,968 | \$ 739 |
| EE | | VENDOR E | | 70 | \$97,778 | | | \$97,778 | \$1,397 |
| ME | | VENDOR E | | 71 | \$106,908 | | | \$106,908 | \$1,506 |
| MA | | VENDOR E | | 74 | \$84,476 | | | \$84,476 | \$1,142 |

03/17/97

SWITCH PRICES BASED ON ACTUAL COST OF RECENT INSTALLATIONS VARIOUS SWITCH SIZES AND TYPES

| | OFFICE | SWITCH | DATE OF QUOTE | LINES | BASE COST | FEATURES | COST | TOTAL COST | COST PER AL |
|----|--------|-----------------|---------------|-------|--------------|----------|------|---------------|----------------|
| sc | | VENDOR E | - | 75 | \$111,209 | | | \$111,209 | \$1,483 |
| NU | | VENDOR E | | 81 | \$81,093 | | | \$81,093 | \$1,001 |
| TU | | VENDOR E | | 86 | \$104,618 | | | \$104,618 | \$1,216 |
| KO | | VENDOR E | | 88 | \$103,864 | | | \$103,864 | \$1,180 |
| KW | | VENDOR E | | 89 | \$68,573 | | | \$68,573 | \$770 |
| AL | | VENDOR E | | 92 | \$137,169 | | | \$137,169 | \$1,491 |
| AK | | VENDOR E | | 94 | \$124,724 | | | \$124,724 | \$1,327 |
| Pl | | VENDOR E | | 98 | \$131,022 | | | \$131,022 | \$1,337 |
| KA | | VENDOR E | | 101 | \$131,818 | | | \$131,818 | \$1,305 |
| TO | | VENDOR E | | 102 | \$109,000 | | | \$109,000 | \$1,069 |
| CH | | VENDOR E | | 110 | \$123,673 | | | \$123,673 | \$1,124 |
| KW | | VENDOR E | | 112 | \$113,133 | * | | \$113,133 | \$1,010 |
| QU | | VENDOR E | | 115 | \$152,083 | | | \$152,083 | \$1,322 |
| SA | | VENDOR E | | 120 | \$136,542 | | | \$136,542 | \$1,138 |
| HO | | VENDOR E | | 121 | \$156,077 | | | \$156,077 | \$1,290 |
| GA | | VENDOR E | | 122 | \$143,045 | | | \$143,045 | \$1,173 |
| KI | | VENDOR E | | 133 | \$138,720 | | | \$138,720 | \$1,043 |
| EM | | VENDOR E | | 173 | \$195,938 | | | \$195,938 | \$1,133 |
| TO | | VENDOR E | | 180 | \$164,382 | | | \$164,382 | \$913 |
| MT | | VENDOR E | | 190 | \$191,964 | | | \$191,964 | \$1,010 |
| ST | | VENDOR E | | 213 | \$229,807 | | | \$229,807 | \$1,079 |



National Summary Data

| Subscribers Per Study Area | Dial Equipment Minutes Per Loop | Gross Switch Investment Per Loop | Gross Switch Investment Per Thousand Minutes | Switch Rev. Req. Per Loop | Switch Rev. Req. Per Thousand Minutes |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Under 500 Subscribers | 13,133 | 1,164.14 | 88.64 | 430.78 | 32.80 |
| 500 to 1,000 Subscribers | 14,075 | 755.49 | 53.68 | 277.64 | 19.73 |
| 1,000 to 2,000 Subscribers | 12,916 | 660.29 | 51.12 | 222.06 | 17.19 |
| 2,000 to 5,000 Subscribers | 13,526 | 588.08 | 43.48 | 186.92 | 13.82 |
| 5,000 to 10,000 Subscribers | 14,379 | 529.84 | 36.85 | 166.47 | 11.58 |
| 10,000 to 20,000 Subscribers | 15,381 | 535.74 | 34.83 | 168.03 | 10.92 |
| 20,000 to 50,000 Subscribers | 16,959 | 476.90 | 28, 12 | 149.33 | 8.80 |
| 50,000 to 100,000 Subscribers | 16,087 | 482.42 | 29.99 | 152.18 | 9.46 |
| 100,000 to 200,000 Subscribers | 16,283 | 500.60 | 30.74 | 156.17 | 9.59 |
| 200,000 to 500,000 Subscribers | 16,556 | 455.37 | 27.50 | 135.94 | 8.21 |
| 500,000 to 1,000,000 Subscribers | 17,682 | 440.25 | 24.90 | 132.53 | 7.50 |
| Over 1,000,000 Subscribers | 19,199 | 367.93 | 19.16 | 116.47 | 6.07 |
| Total | 18,672 | 389.74 | 20.87 | 122.21 | 6.54 |

ATA Comments 80-286 NECA & FCC Sources



National Summary Data

| Subscribers | Number | Number | Average | Switch | Switch | Switch | Switch |
|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| per Switch | of | of | Subs. per | Gross Cost | Gross Cost | Revenue | Revenue |
| | Switches | Subscribers | Switch | Loop | per | Requirement | Requirement |
| | | | | | Subscriber | | per Subscriber |
| Less than 100 | 96 | 6,773 | 71 | 10,605,864 | 1,565.90 | 3,773,745 | 557.17 |
| 100 to 199 | 156 | 25,853 | 166 | 30,182,528 | 1,167.47 | 10,099,159 | 390.64 |
| 200 to 499 | 1,181 | 385,612 | 327 | 326,063,417 | 845.57 | 94,213,016 | 244.32 |
| 500 to 999 | 5,859 | 4,394,889 | 750 | 2,159,544,365 | 491.38 | 654,241,219 | 148.86 |
| 1,000 to 1,999 | 4,319 | 6,258,969 | 1,449 | 2,984,442,259 | 476.83 | 911,484,384 | 145.63 |
| 2,000 to 4,999 | 4,138 | 12,563,579 | 3,036 | 6,165,482,731 | 490.74 | 1,837,478,089 | 146.25 |
| 5,000 to 9,999 | 3,679 | 27,354,651 | 7,435 | 11,164,844,111 | 408.15 | 3,398,889,574 | 124.25 |
| Over 10,000 | 5,732 | 78,801,536 | 13,748 | 28,274,999,934 | 358.81 | 9,193,435,365 | 116.67 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | 25,160 | 129,791,862 | 5,159 | 51,116,165,209 | 393.83 | 16,103,614,551 | 124.07 |

ATA Comments 80-286 NECA & FCC Sources



Calculated Switch Prices Various Switch Sizes and Types

| Switch <u>Vendor</u> | Switch <u>Type</u> | Access <u>lines</u> | Calculated Cost <u>A+Bx</u> | Calculated Cost Per Access Line |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Vendor A | Large Switch | 1,000 10,000 20,000 50,000 | \$ 752,686 \$2,012,686 \$3,412,686 \$7,612,686 | \$ 752.69 \$ 201.27 \$ 170.63 \$ 152.25 |
| Vendor B | Large Switch | 1,000 10,000 20,000 50,000 | \$2,047,513 \$2,677,513 \$3,377,513 \$5,477,513 | \$2,047.71 \$ 267.75 \$ 168.88 \$ 109.55 |

ATA Comments 80-286



Calculated Switch Prices Various Switch Sizes and Types (Con't)

| Vendor C | Small Switch | 1,000 10,000 | \$ 553,162 \$1,822,278 | \$ 553.16 \$ 116.50 |
|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Vendor D | Small Switch | 1,000 10,000 | \$ 525,680 \$1,165,045 | \$ 525.68 \$ 182.23 |
| Vendor E | Small Rural | 100 384 | \$ 117,689 \$ 386,069 | \$1,176,89 \$1,005.39 |

ATA Comments 80-286

TOTAL

PAGE.010

in the second

Sheet1

| COMPANY | % Equity | % Debt |
|--------------------|----------|--------|
| Citizens Telephone | 88% | 12% |
| Ayrshire | 47% | 53% |
| Rural Telephone | 79% | 21% |
| Albion Telephone | 61% | 39% |
| Trans-Cascade | 55% | 45% |
| Dell City - Texas | 37% | 63% |
| Churchill | 100% | 0% |
| Siskiyou | 52% | 48% |
| Range | 37% | 63% |
| Nehalem | 44% | 55% |
| Beaver Creek | 40% | 60% |
| Clear Creek | 40% | 60% |
| Clark Fork | 14% | 86% |
| Blackfoot | 62% | 38% |
| Interior | 32% | 68% |
| Mukluk | 35% | 65% |
| | | |
| AVERAGE | 51% | 49% |

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the RIPS system.
 - o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.

of other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the RIPS system.

The adtual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.

1 Diskette